

Planning Committee

Meeting of held on Thursday, 8 February 2018 at 6.30 pm in Council Chamber, Town Hall,
Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX

This meeting was Webcast – and is available to view via the Council’s Web Site

MINUTES

Present: Councillor Paul Scott (Chair);
Councillor Humayun Kabir (Vice-Chair);
Councillors Simon Brew, Jason Perry, Sherwan Chowdhury, Steve Hollands,
Bernadette Khan, Maggie Mansell and Joy Prince

Also Present: Councillors Maria Gatland, Donald Speakman and Tim Pollard

Apologies: Councillors Audsley, Clancy, Winborn and Wright.

PART A

25/18 **Minutes of Previous Meeting**

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 28 January 2018 be signed as a correct record.

26/18 **Disclosure of Interest**

There were no disclosures of a pecuniary interest not already registered.

27/18 **Urgent Business (if any)**

There was none.

28/18 **Development presentations**

There were none.

29/18 **Planning applications for decision**

30/18 **17/02696/FUL 28 - 30 Fairfield Road**

Details of the planning application was presented by the officers and officers responded to questions and clarifications.

At 18.36pm Councillor Chowdhury entered the meeting and did not take part in the item.

At 18.39pm Councillor Brew entered the meeting and did not take part in the item.

Tom Webber (representing the applicant) spoke in favour of the application.

Councillor Scott proposed, and Councillor Khan seconded, a motion for approval.

The motion was put to the vote and was carried with five Members voting in favour and one Member voting against.

The Committee therefore **RESOLVED** to grant permission for development at 28 - 30 Fairfield Road.

31/18 **17/05863/FUL 21A Green Lane, Purley CR8 3PP**

Details of the planning application was presented by the officers. There were no questions or clarification requests.

At 18:54pm Councillor Kabir entered the meeting and did not take part in the item

Robert Kremis spoke against the application, raising concern about loss of privacy and the design of the proposed development being out of character with the area which comprises houses rather than blocks of flats..

Jemima Dean spoke in favour of the planning application, referring to positive pre application engagement with amenity effects addressed and the proposed development set further back into site. She also referred to the provision of amenity space for the ground floor flats with an additional communal garden.

Councillor Speakman, speaking against the application, made the following points:

- The design did not respect the area as there were no flats within the vicinity.
- The Committee should take the residents' views into consideration and reject the application.

Councillor Perry moved a motion for refusal on the grounds of overdevelopment of the site. Councillor Hollands seconded the motion.

Councillor Khan moved a motion for approval. Councillor Scott seconded the motion.

The first motion for refusal was put to the vote and fell, with two Members voting in favour and five voting against.

The second motion for approval was put to the vote and was carried with five Members voting in favour and two voting against,

The Committee therefore **RESOLVED** to grant permission to development at 21A Green Lane, Purley CR8 3PP.

32/18 **17/05830/FUL Coombe Lodge Playing Fields, Melville Avenue, South Croydon, CR2 7HY**

Details of the planning application was presented by the officers and officers responded to questions and clarifications.

Jeremy Gill, spoke against the application and raised the following points:

- Insufficient consultation processes
- Object to making Melville Avenue “one-way” relocating traffic movements to neighbouring streets
- Applicant has ignored alternatives presented by residents
- Concerns about car access to the site from Melville Avenue.

Jonathan Wilden spoke in favour of the application and raised the following points

- Urgent need for school places in Croydon and the Coombe Lodge was the only appropriate one available for the temporary school; a site which was expected to be approved in the Local Plan for a permanent school.
- Safety of pupils was the number one priority and the scheme has been found acceptable through independent safety audit
- The vast majority of pupils live close by and the school would provide a minibus service to reduce the impact on surrounding roads.

Councillor Gatland spoke against the application and raised the following points:

- Residents felt excluded from the process.
- The travel plan had not been fully developed and alternatives had not been considered (moving the site entrance further up Coombe Lane).
- The decision should be deferred for further consideration of possible options to ensure pupil safety was delivered to the highest level.

Councillor Perry moved a motion for deferral of decision so as to provide for more time to consider the impact on the highway and pupil safety in travelling to the site. Councillor Hollands seconded the motion.

Councillor Scott moved a motion for approval and Councillor Kabir seconded the motion.

The first motion to defer the decision was put to the vote and fell, with three Members voting in favour and six voting against.

The second motion for approval was put to the vote and was carried with six Members voting in favour and three abstaining.

The Committee therefore **RESOLVED** to grant development at Coombe Lodge Playing Fields, Melville Avenue, South Croydon, CR2 7HY.

33/18 **17/01929/FUL Walcot Court, 1B Ashburton Road**

Details of the planning application was presented by the officers and officers responded to questions and clarifications.

Robert Porter spoke against the application and raised the following points:

- Visual impact to the area due to the proposed car parking area.
- Loss of soft landscaping with minimal replacement.

Councillor Kabir moved a motion of approval, and Councillor Scott seconded the motion.

The motion was put to the vote and was carried, with eight Members voting in favour and one voting against.

The Committee therefore **RESOLVED** to grant the application at Walcot Court, 1B Ashburton Road.

34/18 **Items referred by Planning Sub-Committee**

There were none.

35/18 **Other planning matters**

There were none.

The meeting ended at 8.32 pm

Signed:

.....

Date:

.....

This page is intentionally left blank

Planning Committee

Meeting of held on Thursday, 22 February 2018 at 7.00 pm in Council Chamber, Town Hall,
Katharine Street, Croydon CR0 1NX

This meeting was Webcast – and is available to view via the Council's Web Site

MINUTES

Present: Councillor Paul Scott (Chair);
Councillor Humayun Kabir (Vice-Chair);
Councillors Jamie Audsley, Simon Brew, Bernadette Khan, Maggie Mansell,
Jason Perry, Joy Prince, Sue Winborn and Chris Wright

Also Present: Councillor Maria Gatland

Apologies: Councillor Luke Clancy and Sherwan Chowdhury

PART A

36/18 **Minutes of Previous Meeting**

The minutes of the meeting held on 8 February 2018 was deferred to the meeting on 8 March 2018.

37/18 **Disclosure of Interest**

There were no disclosures of a pecuniary interest not already registered.

38/18 **Urgent Business (if any)**

There was none.

39/18 **Development presentations**

The Head of Development Management shared that on the 16 January 2018 the Local Plan came out for major modifications which was published on 26 January 2018. The Plan was to go to full council on Tuesday 27 January 2018 for recommendations for adoption.

40/18 **5.1 17/05470/PRE Coombe Lodge Playing Fields, Melville Avenue, South Croydon, CR2 7HY**

Presentation of a pre-application scheme for the change of use of site from playing fields (D2) to 1,680 pupil secondary school (D1), with associated

erection of new three storey school building and two storey sports block, car park, service yard, new pedestrian and vehicle entrance and associated landscaping including provision of an all-weather pitch.

Ward: Croham

Representatives of the applicant attended to give a presentation to the Members' as questions and issues were raised for discussion with further consideration prior to their submission of a planning application.

The main issues raised during the meeting were as follows:

The design of the scheme

- The Committee positively welcomed the design and interest of the layout of the school. The Committee noted the attention of the landscape design which included the theatre to create a better environment.
- The application should also include views of the school from the road side and the park (longer distance views) to give an indication of its relationship to the wider setting. The school view from the hedge was acknowledged.
- A good understanding of the various site levels and the extent to which the scheme responded positively to the level changes
- Welcomed the sports academy – and encouraged the full range of sports (including cricket)
- Ensure that the scheme deals with any nature conservation impacts – especially close to nearby woodland

Community use of the school outside school hours

- The Committee acknowledged the space (indoor and outdoor) should be maximised for “out of school hours” activities which would need to be properly determined and controlled through a community use protocol.

Pedestrian/Highway Safety

- The existing high number of pupils attending nearby schools already use trams and other transport modes and the Planning Committee queried the capacity of the trams and buses to accommodate further trips.
- The Committee was concerned of the road traffic speed on Coombe Road which is considered busy and dangerous with the need for mitigation (signage and other speed calming measures) – especially as cars move east to west).
- Careful thought needs to be given to pedestrian crossing arrangements on Coombe Road – striking an appropriate balance between pedestrian safety and the free flow of traffic.

- The need for a formalised controlled crossing to ensure pedestrian safety – which will need to be properly audited. Needs to be carefully located in Coombe Road
- Greater efforts need to be made to encourage cycling to and from the school (pupils as well as staff) especially as it is proposed to be a Sports Academy. The school should be significantly more ambitious to reduce reliance on the private car and encourage more sustainable modes of transport. The required travel plan needs to be ambitious.
- The application should address a proactive plan to encourage more walking and cycling. Cycling infrastructure was considered necessary to encourage the sport focused school; which should include Transport for London’s cycle guideline on cycle lanes.
- Need to consider separation of pedestrian and cycle lanes – possibly on the opposite side of Coombe Road (Lloyd Park)
- Vehicle visibility is important at the main vehicle entrances with the desire to retain important trees
- Careful consideration required around the timings and arrangements for service vehicles

On Site and On-Street Car Parking

- There was a difference of opinion about the most appropriate level of on-site car parking with some Members accepting relatively high levels of on-site car parking on the basis that it would limit and mitigate on street car parking and pupil drop in neighbouring residential streets (which have limited car parking capacity). The alternative view was that the high level of on-site car parking would encourage unsustainable car trips and would do little to encourage more sustainable trips (including walking and cycling) to and from school by pupils and members of staff.
- On site drop off was welcomed to avoid indiscriminate drop off in Melville Road and Coombe Road – although there was an alternative view that large areas set aside for pupil drop might be counter-productive, in view of the need to encourage sustainable travel to and from school.

Neighbour Impacts

- The need for the school to respect the amenities of neighbours (the need to mitigate noise breakout though design of the amenity areas and the density of planting on boundaries).

41/18 **Planning applications for decision**

42/18 **6.1 17/05867/FUL Land R/O 16 Highfield Hill, Upper Norwood, London, SE19 3PS**

Construction of 1 x 4 bedroom detached house and 4 x 2 bedroom flats, including associated car parking and landscaping.

Ward: South Norwood

Details of the planning application was presented by the officers and officers responded to questions and clarifications.

Marc Avery spoke against the application and raised the following issues:

- Reducing height of some windows does not deal with concerns.
- Red line boundary in the report is inaccurate.
- Over-development, in particular the proposed height of the property.
- Parking would be a major issue on Highfield Hill with a nearby primary school, also brings safety concerns.
- Loss of privacy
- Large trees should be included in the application.

Peter Swain, speaking in favour of the application, made the following points:

- Contemporary nature of development is considered to be appropriate in the neighbourhood.
- Enhanced screening could be provided on some windows at risk of overlooking.

Councillor Scott moved a motion for **APPROVAL**.

Councillor Perry moved a motion to **DEFER** the application for further discussions to take place (specifically around the privacy issues and how best to mitigate this impact).

Councillor Scott withdrew his motion for **APPROVAL** and seconded Councillor Perry's motion to **DEFER** the application for further consideration – but to only bring back to Planning Committee if the applicant (working with the planning officers) was unable to resolve privacy concerns raised by neighbours (through the use of planning conditions to further limit the extent of overlooking and to deal with privacy issues).

The motion to delegate the decision to approve planning application to the Director of Planning and Strategic Transport (assuming privacy issues can be suitably resolved) was put forward to the vote and was carried with ten members voting in favour.

The Committee thus **RESOLVED** to delegate the application of Land R/O 16 Highfield Hill, Upper Norwood, London, SE19 3PS back to officers (on the basis of the above conditions).

There were none.

44/18 **Other planning matters**

There were none.

The meeting ended at 9.01 pm

Signed:

Date:

.....
.....

This page is intentionally left blank